Positive–Definite Generalized Functions and the Heat Equation

Yoshiaki HASHIMOTO

Institute of Natural Sciences, Nagoya City University, Yamanohata 1–1, Mizuho–ku, Nagoya, 467–8501, Japan.

key words : heat equation, positive-definite functions, Bochner's theorem, the Bochner-Schwartz theorem

Summary : In this note, the correspondence between the solutions of the heat equation and the positive-definite (ultra-) distributions will be considered.

§0. Introduction.

S.Bochner [1] showed that any positive-definite continuous function can be represented by the Fourier transformation of a finite positive measure. This results was extended by L.Schwartz to the distribution case, [12],[6]. His remarkable result says that any positivedefinite distribution must be a tempered one, which is represented by the Fourier transformation of a slowly increasing positive measure.

In this note, we shall investigate the relation between boundary values of the solutions of the heat equation and the positive-definite (ultra-)distributions by using the heat kernel method, [2],[3],[4],[8],[9],[10],[11]. This note contains three theorems. In Theorem 1, we shall show that for any positive-definite continuous function, there corresponds uniquely to a solution of the heat equation satisfying the condition (i),(ii),(iii) in Theorem 1. In Theorem 2, the correspondence between the tempered positive-definite distributions and the solutions of the heat equation satisfying the condition (i),(ii),(iii) in Theorem 2. In Theorem 3, a generalization of the results of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 to the case of some ultra-distributions(generalized functions) will be considered. To do so, we need an extended Bochner-Schwartz theorem for ultra-distributions which will be proved in Theorem 4.

12

§1. Positive–definite continuous functions and Bochner's Theorem

Let \mathbb{R}^n be a n-dimensional Euclidean space whose point is denoted by $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. We use the usual notation $\langle x, \xi \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \xi_j$ and $i = \sqrt{-1}$.

Definition 1. Let f(x), $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, be a (complex-valued) continuous function defined in \mathbf{R}^n . We say that a function f(x) is positive-definite if for any finite number of $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^m \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m \in \mathbf{C}$ we have

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{m} f(x^j - x^k)\xi_j\overline{\xi_k} \ge 0 \tag{1.1}$$

The following facts can be easily shown by the definition.

Proposition 1.1 Let f(x) be continuous in \mathbb{R}^n and positive-definite. Then we have the following facts :

$$f(0) \ge 0 \tag{1.2}$$

$$|f(x)| \le f(0), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n \tag{1.3}$$

$$f(-x) = \overline{f(x)}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n \tag{1.4}$$

(**Proof**) (1.2) is obtained by setting m = 1 in (1.1)

 $|f(0)|\xi_1|^2 \ge 0$

To show (1.4), we set m = 2 in (1.1) :

$$f(0)|\xi_1|^2 + f(x^1 - x^2)\xi_1\overline{\xi_2} + f(x^2 - x^1)\xi_2\overline{\xi_1} + f(0)|\xi_2|^2 \ge 0$$

Setting $x^1 = x, x^2 = 0$, we have

$$f(0)|\xi_1|^2 + f(x)\xi_1\overline{\xi_2} + f(-x)\xi_2\overline{\xi_1} + f(0)|\xi_2|^2$$
(1.5)

Since this is real, we take complex conjugate and we have

$$= f(0)|\xi_1|^2 + \overline{f(x)}\,\overline{\xi_1}\xi_2 + \overline{f(-x)}\,\overline{\xi_2}\xi_1 + f(0)|\xi_2|^2$$

From this equality, we have

$$\xi_1 \overline{\xi_2}(f(x) - \overline{f(-x)}) + \overline{\xi_1} \xi_2(f(-x) - \overline{f(x)}) = 0$$
(1.6)

Substituting $\xi_1 = 1, \xi_2 = 1$ and setting $A = f(x) - \overline{f(-x)}$, we have

$$A - \overline{A} = 0$$
 i.e. A real

On the other hand, substituting $\xi_1 = i, \xi_2 = 1$ in (1.6), we get

$$iA - i(-A) = 2iA = 0$$
 i.e. $A = 0$

Next we shall show (1.3). Since the bilinear form (1.5) is positive-definite,

two eigen-values of the matrix
$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} f(0) & f(x) \\ \overline{f(x)} & f(0) \end{array} \right]$$
 are ≥ 0

This means the roots λ_1, λ_2 of the equation

$$\begin{vmatrix} f(0) - \lambda & f(x) \\ \overline{f(x)} & f(0) - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = \lambda^2 - (f(x) + \overline{f(x)})\lambda + f(0)^2 - |f(x)|^2 = 0$$

are non-negative. So considering the relation of the roots and the coefficients, we have

$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = f(0)^2 - |f(x)|^2 \ge 0.$$

(q.e.d)

Examples of positive-definite functions. (a) f(x) = 1(b) $f(x) = e^{iax} (a \in \mathbf{R})$ $\sum_{\substack{j \ k=1}}^{m} f(x^j - x^k) \xi_j \overline{\xi_k} = \sum_{\substack{j,k=1}}^{m} e^{ia(x^j - x^k)} \xi_j \overline{\xi_k}$ $= \sum_{i,k=1}^m e^{iax^j} \xi_j \overline{e^{iax^k} \xi_k} \qquad = \qquad |\sum_{j=1}^m e^{iax^j} \xi_j|^2$ (c) $e^{-ax^2}(a > 0)$

$$e^{-ax^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ix\xi} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{4a}} d\xi$$

(d)
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1 \pm ix}$$

(e) $f(x) = \frac{1}{1 + x^2}$
 $1 = -\frac{1}{1 + x^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ix\xi} e^{-ix\xi} dx$

$$\frac{1}{1+x^2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ix\xi} e^{-|\xi|} d\xi = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{ix-1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{ix+1}$$

Theorem.(Bochner's theorem [1],[6]) In order that a function $f(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be positive definite, it is necessary and sufficient that

 \exists a positive measure $d\mu(x)$ such that $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} d\mu(\xi) < \infty$ and

$$f(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{i\langle x\xi \rangle} \, d\mu(\xi).$$
 (1.7)

$\S2$. Relation of positive-definite functions and the heat equation

We denote by $x^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$, $x = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The n-dimensional heat kernel is given by

$$E(x,t) = (4\pi t)^{-n/2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4t}} \qquad (t>0)$$

= $(2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{i\langle x,\xi \rangle} e^{-t\xi^2} d\xi.$

Theorem 1. Let u(x) be a continuous positive-definite function in \mathbb{R}^n . Then the function $U(x,t) = \int E(x-y,t)u(y) \, dy$ satisfies the following conditions :

(i)
$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) U(x,t) = 0$$
 in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+ = \{(x,t) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}, t > 0\}$

(ii) $U(\cdot, t)$ is positive–definite for $\forall t > 0$

(iii)
$$0 \le U(0,t) \le C = u(0).$$

Conversely, every C^{∞} -function U(x,t) in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ satisfying the conditions (i),(ii),(iii) with a constant C can be expressed in the form $U(x,t) = \int E(x-y,t)u(y) \, dy$ uniquely with u(x) = U(x,0) which is continuous, positive-definite in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ .

(Remark.) We donote the integral in the sense of a pair of a distribution and a test function.

(**Proof.**) (\Longrightarrow) By Bochner's theorem there exists a finite positive measure $\mu(\xi)$ in \mathbf{R}^n , and u(x) can be represented by

$$u(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{ix\xi} d\mu(\xi).$$

Substituting this in the expression U(x, t), we get

$$\begin{aligned} U(x,t) &= \int E(x-y,t) \Big((2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{iy\xi} d\mu(\xi) \Big) dy = (\int \Big(2\pi)^{-n} (\int E(x-y,t) e^{iy\xi} dy \Big) d\mu(\xi) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{ix\xi} \Big(\int E(x-y,t) e^{iy\xi} dy \Big) d\mu(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{ix\xi} e^{-t\xi^2} d\mu(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

This implies positive definiteness of U(x, t) for any t > 0.

As U(x,t) becomes positive–definite, by (1.3), we have

$$|U(x,t)| \le U(0,t) \le \int |E(y,t)| u(y)| \, dy \le u(0) \equiv C$$

(\Leftarrow) Conversely, let U(x,t) satisfies (i),(ii) and (iii) with some constant C > 0. Then by §1, (1.2),(1.3), we obtain

$$|U(x,t)| \le U(0,t) \le C$$
 $(x,t) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+$

Furthermore, by Theorem 19.2 in [10] or Theorem 5.7 in [11], there exists uniquely

$$u = U(x,0) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n).$$

and we have the expression $U(x,t) = \int E(x-y,t)u(y) dy$. Using the Fourier transform, we have

$$\widehat{U}(\xi,t) = e^{-t\xi^2} \widehat{u}(\xi).$$

By Bochner's theorem, there exists a positive finite measure $\mu_t(\xi)$ such that

$$\widehat{U}(\xi,t) = \mu_t(\xi) = e^{-t\xi^2} \widehat{u}(\xi) \ge 0.$$

This means \hat{u} must be a positive measure. On the other hand, we have

$$U(x,t) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{i\langle x,\xi \rangle} e^{-t\xi^2} \widehat{u}(\xi) d\xi.$$
(2.1)

By (iii)

$$U(0,t) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{-t\xi^2} \,\widehat{u}(\xi) \, d\xi \le C$$

By using Fatou's lemma and tending $t \downarrow 0$, we have $(2\pi)^{-n} \int \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \leq C$, which means that $\hat{u}(\xi)$ is a finite measure. By using Lebesgue's convergence theorem in (2.1) and tending $t \to 0$, we have

$$u = U(x,0) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{i\langle x,\xi\rangle} \widehat{u}(\xi) d\xi.$$

This shows u is continuous and positive-definite.

Now we shall consider the relation of the positive–definite distributions $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the solutions of the heat equation.

Definition 2. $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to be positive-definite if and only if

$$\langle u, \varphi * \varphi^* \rangle \ge 0, \qquad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n), \quad \varphi^*(x) = \overline{\varphi(-x)}.$$

We shall describe Bochner–Schwartz theorem and Riesz–Kakutani's theorem. The former is the extension of Bochner's theorem to the case \boldsymbol{S}' . The latter is to certificate the existence of a positive measure.

Theorem.(Bochner–Schwartz theorem [6],[12]) In order that a distribution $f(x) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be positive-definite, it is necessary and sufficient that

 \exists a positive measure $d\mu(x)$ and $N \ge 0$ such that $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (1+|\xi|^2)^{-N} d\mu(\xi) < \infty$ and

$$f(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{i\langle x,\xi \rangle} \, d\mu(\xi)$$
 (2.2)

Theorem.(Riesz–Kakutani's theorem [3]) Every continuous, positive linear functional on $C_0(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is given by

$$\langle F, arphi
angle = \int \, arphi(x) \, d\mu(x),$$

where μ is some positive measure (not necessarily finite).

Theorem 2. Let u(x) be a distribution $\in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and positive-definite. Then the function $U(x,t) = \langle E(x-\cdot,t), u(\cdot) \rangle = \int E(x-y,t)u(y) \, dy$ satisfies the following conditions :

 ${igl({
m i})} \quad \Big(rac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta\Big)U(x,t)=0 \,\,{
m in}\,\,{f R}^{n+1}_+$

(ii) $U(\cdot, t)$ is positive–definite for $\forall t > 0$

(iii)
$$0 \le U(0,t) \le Ct^{-N}$$
 $(\exists N > 0) \quad 0 < t < \infty$

Conversely, every C^{∞} -function U(x,t) in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ satisfing (i),(ii),(iii) can be expressed in the form $U(x,t) = \int E(x-y,t)u(y) \, dy$ uniquely with u(x) = U(x,0) which is $\in S'$ and positive-definite.

See the proof of Theorem 1,[10],[11]. (Proof) (\Longrightarrow)

If U(x,t) satisfies (ii) and (iii), then by 1, (1.2),(1.3), we have (⇐=)

$$|U(x,t)| \le U(0,t) \le C(1+t^{-N})$$
 $(x,t) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+$

Hence by Theorem 19.2, [10] or Theorem 5.7, [11], there exists a unique

$$u = U(x,0) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$$

and we have the representation $U(x,t) = \int E(x-y,t)u(y) \, dy$, and

$$0 \leq \int U(x,t)\varphi * \varphi^*(x) \, dx, \qquad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n).$$
(2.

As $t \downarrow 0$, we have

 $\langle u(x), \varphi * \varphi^* \rangle > 0.$

Substituting the integral representation of U(x, t) in (2.3), then we can get

$$\int \left(\int E(x-y,t)u(y)\,dy\right)\varphi*\varphi^*(x)\,dx.$$

Changing the order of the integrals, we have

$$= \int \left(\int E(x-y,t)\varphi * \varphi^*(x)\,dx\right) u(y)\,dy$$

Using the representation of U(x, t), we have

$$=\int U(x,t)arphi*arphi^*(x)\,dx$$

Using Parseval's equality, we have

$$\int e^{-t\xi^2} \widehat{u}(\xi) |\widehat{\varphi}|^2 d\xi \ge 0.$$

By Bochner–Schwartz theorem, there exists a finite measure $\mu_t(\xi)$ and

$$\widehat{U}(\xi,t) = \mu_t(\xi) = e^{-t\xi^2} \widehat{u}(\xi) \ge 0$$

Tending $t \downarrow 0$, we have $\langle \hat{u}(\xi), |\varphi(\xi)|^2 \geq 0$. This means that \hat{u} is multiplicatively positive in S. We know every multiplicatively positive distribution in S' is a positive one by the argument given in §2, Chapter 2 in [6]. Hence, by Riesz-Kakutani's theorem, \hat{u} is a positive measure.

3)

We have to show \hat{u} is a tempered measure, that is to say, there is a positive constant k such that

$$\int (1+|\xi|^2)^{-k} \,\widehat{u} \,d\xi < \infty$$

Since \hat{u} is continuous in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$, we have the following inequality

$$|\langle \hat{u}, \varphi \rangle| \le C \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \le k} \sup |\xi^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} \varphi(\xi)|, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{n})$$
(2.4)

Taking $\varphi(\xi) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-k}$, we set $U_{\varphi}(\xi, t) = \int E(\xi - \eta, t)\varphi(\eta) d\eta = \varphi_t(\xi)$, which plays a role of a barrier function. We substitute $\varphi_t(\xi)$ in the right-hand-side of (2.4). We have

$$\xi^{\alpha}\partial_{\xi}^{\beta}\varphi_{t}(\xi) = \xi^{\alpha} \int \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} E(\xi - \eta, t)\varphi_{t}(\eta) \, d\eta$$

Considering $\partial_{\xi}^{\beta} E(\xi - \eta, t) = (-\partial_{\eta})^{\beta} E(\xi - \eta, t)$, integrating by parts and using the inequality $|\xi^{\alpha}| \leq 2^{|\alpha|} (|\xi - \eta|^{|\alpha|} + |\eta|^{|\alpha|})$, we get the terms of the right-hand-side in (2.4) with $\varphi = \varphi_t$ are finite. Hence we have

$$\langle \widehat{u}, \varphi_t \rangle | \leq C$$
 for $(0 < t < T)$.

Tending $t \downarrow 0$, we have

$$\int (1+|\xi|^2)^{-k} \,\widehat{u} \,d\xi < \infty$$

(q.e.d.)

The next theorem is concerned with the ultra-distributions, that is, generalized functions in $(S_r^s)'($ in the sense of Gelfand–Shilov).

We shall give the folloing definition.

Definition 4. ([5]) We say that a function $\varphi(x)$ is $\in \mathcal{S}_{r,A}^{s,B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if there exist $0 < r, s, 1 \le r + s \le \infty$ and C such that

$$|x^{\alpha}D_{x}^{\beta}\varphi(x)| \leq CA^{|\alpha|}B^{|\beta|}\alpha!^{r}\beta!^{s} \text{ for } \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}^{n}$$

holds. We denote by $S_r^s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ the inductive limit of $S_{r,A}^{s,B}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ as $A, B \to \infty$. And we denote by $(S_r^s(\mathbf{R}^n))'$ the set of the generalized functions on $S_r^s(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

Definition 5. $u \in (\mathcal{S}_r^s(\mathbf{R}^n))'$ is said to be positive-definite if and only if

 $\langle u, \varphi * \varphi^* \rangle \geq 0, \qquad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_r^s(\mathbf{R}^n), \quad \varphi^*(x) = \overline{\varphi(-x)}.$

Then the following theorem holds.

Theorem 3 We assume that $\frac{1}{2} \leq r, s < \infty$. Let u(x) be a generalized function $\in (\mathcal{S}_r^s(\mathbb{R}^n))'$ and positive-definite. Then the function

$$U(x,t)=\langle E(x-y,t),u(y)
angle=\int E(x-y,t)u(y)\,dy ext{ satisfies the following conditions } :$$

(i)
$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) U(x,t) = 0$$
 in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+ .

(ii) $U(\cdot, t)$ is positive–definite for $\forall t > 0$.

(iii) In case $\frac{1}{2} < s < \infty$, for $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $\forall T > 0$ we have $0 \le U(0, t) \le C_{\epsilon} e^{\epsilon t^{\frac{-1}{2s-1}}}$ 0 < t < T, where C_{ϵ} is a constant depending on ϵ .

(iii)' In case $s = \frac{1}{2}$, for $\forall T > 0$ we have $0 \le U(0, t) \le C(t) < \infty$, 0 < t < T,

where C(t) is a constant depending on t.

Conversely, every C^{∞} -function U(x,t) in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ , satisfing (i),(ii),(iii) or (i),(ii),(iii)' can be expressed in the form $U(x,t) = \int E(x-y,t)u(y) \, dy$ uniquely with u(x) = U(x,0) which is $\in (\mathcal{S}_r^s(\mathbb{R}^n))'$ and positive-definite.

Remark (1) In §3, we shall show that \hat{u} is a positive measure and for $\forall \epsilon > 0$

$$\int \,\widehat{u}(\xi)e^{-\epsilon|\xi|^{\frac{1}{s}}}\,d\xi<\infty,$$

i.e. infra-exponentially increasing.

(2) In case s = 1 in Theorem 3, we have $|U(x,t)| \leq U(0,t) \leq C_{\epsilon}e^{\frac{\epsilon}{t}}$ so that $u \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, Fourier hyperfunction.

(**Proof**) (\Longrightarrow) By the extended Bochner–Schwartz theorem (Theorem 4 in §3), there exists a (infra–exponential) positive measure $\mu(\xi)$ such that

$$u(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{\langle x,\xi \rangle} d\mu(\xi).$$

Since $E(\cdot,t) \in \mathcal{S}_{1/2}^{1/2}$, $u \in (\mathcal{S}_r^s)'$, $\hat{u} \in (\mathcal{S}_s^r)'$, we have

$$U(x,t) = \int E(x-y,t)u(y) \, dy = (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{i\langle x,\xi \rangle} e^{-t\xi^2} \widehat{u}(\xi) \, d\xi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^{n+1}_+)$$

and satisfes (ii).

For (iii), we have to estimate the integral

$$U(0,t) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{-t\xi^2} \widehat{u}(\xi) \, d\xi = (2\pi)^{-n} \sup_{\xi} e^{-t\xi^2 + \epsilon|\xi|^{1/s}} \int e^{-\epsilon|\xi|^{1/s}} \widehat{u}(\xi) \, d\xi.$$

We have the inequality

$$0 \le U(0,t) \le C_{\epsilon} \sup_{\xi} e^{-t\xi^2 + \epsilon|\xi|^{1/s}}$$

by setting $C_{\epsilon} = (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{-\epsilon |\xi|^{1/s}} \widehat{u}(\xi) d\xi$. Estimating the sup and setting $-\epsilon^{\frac{2s}{2s-1}} 2^{\frac{2s}{1-2s}} (1-2s)$ by ϵ , we have

$$U(0,t) \le C_{\epsilon} e^{\epsilon t^{-1/(2s-1)}}$$

To prove (iii)', we estimate the integral for $t > \epsilon$

$$U(0,t) = (2\pi)^n \int e^{t\xi^2} \widehat{u}(\xi) \, d\xi = (2\pi)^n \sup_{\xi} e^{-t\xi^2 + \epsilon|\xi|^2} \int e^{-\epsilon|\xi|^2} \widehat{u}(\xi) \, d\xi.$$

For $t > \epsilon$, sup is estimated by ≤ 1 and the integral is estimated by C_{ϵ} . Hence we obtain (iii)'.

 (\Leftarrow) In case (iii)

$$|U(x,t)| \le U(0,t) \le C_{\epsilon} e^{\epsilon t^{\frac{-1}{2s-1}}}, \quad 0 < t < T.$$

Using Theorem 2.1 in [2], for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \forall r < \infty$, we have uniquely

$$u = U(x, 0) \in (\mathcal{S}_r^s(\mathbf{R}^n))'.$$

Furthermore we can represent

$$U(x,t) = \langle E(x-y,t), u(y) \rangle = \int E(x-y,t)u(y) \, dy.$$

By the assumption, we have

$$\int U(x,t)\varphi * \varphi^* dx \ge 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_r^s(\mathbf{R}^n).$$
(2.5)

Tending $t \to 0$, we get

 $\langle u, \varphi \ast \varphi^* \rangle \geq 0$

Substituting the integral representation of U(x,t) in (2.5), then we can get

$$\int \langle E(x-y,t), u(y) \rangle \varphi * \varphi^*(x) \, dx.$$

By continuity of the generalized function and the definition of the integral, we have

$$=\langle \int \, E(x-y,t)\, arphi st arphi^st (x)\, dx, u(y)
angle$$

Using Parseval's equality, we have

$$\int e^{-t\xi^2} \widehat{u}(\xi) |\widehat{\varphi}|^2 d\xi \ge 0.$$

By the extended Bochner–Schwartz theorem (Theorem 4), there exists a positive measure $\mu_t(\xi)$ and

$$\widehat{U}(\xi,t) = \mu_t(\xi) = e^{-t\xi^2} \widehat{u}(\xi) \ge 0$$

Tending $t \downarrow 0$, we have $\langle \hat{u}(\xi), |\varphi(\xi)|^2 \rangle \ge 0$. This means that \hat{u} is multiplicatively positive in S_s^r . We can see that every multiplicatively positive generalized function in $(S_s^r(\mathbf{R}^n))'$ is a positive one by almost the same argument given in §2, Chapter 2 in [6]. Hence, by Riesz-Kakutani's theorem, \hat{u} is a positive measure. By Theorem 4, we have

$$\int e^{-\epsilon|\xi|^{1/s}} \widehat{u}(\xi) \, d\xi < \infty$$

(q.e.d)

§3. Extended Bochner–Schwartz theorem

We shall show the extended Bochner–Schwartz theorem for the generalized functions in $(\mathcal{S}_r^s(\mathbf{R}^n))'$.

Theorem 4. In order that a generalised function $u \in (\mathcal{S}_r^s(\mathbf{R}^n))'$ be positive-definite, it is necessary and sufficient that there exists a positive measure $d\mu(\xi)$ such that for any $\epsilon \geq 0$ we have $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{-\epsilon |\xi|^{1/s}} d\mu(\xi) < \infty$ and

$$u(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{i\langle x,\xi \rangle} d\mu(\xi).$$
(3.1)

(**Proof**) (\Leftarrow) The sufficiency of the proof can be obtained by almost the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1 and 2, where the heat kernel method might be used effectively.

 (\Longrightarrow) The proof is divided into 4 steps.

(Step 1) $(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{r}^{s}) = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{s}^{r}$ by Gelfand–Shirov [5]. Since \hat{u}^{*} , for $\forall \varphi \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{s}^{r}$, we have

$$0 \leq \langle u, \varphi \ast \varphi^* \rangle = \langle \hat{u}^*, \varphi \ast \varphi^* \rangle = \langle \hat{u}^*, \varphi \widehat{\ast \varphi^*} \rangle = \langle \hat{u}^*, |\hat{\varphi}|^2 \rangle.$$

So \hat{u}^* is a multiplicatively positive in S_s^r . Hence we have \hat{u}^* is positive in S_s^r , then in C_0 by using the heat kernel method.

(Step 2) By Riesz-Kakutani's theorem, $\hat{u}^*(\xi)$ is a positive measure.

(Step 3) Applying the Theorem 4.2 in Chung–Kim [4] to non–negative solution of the heat equation $U^*(\xi, t) = \int E(\xi - \eta, t) \hat{u}^*(\eta) \, d\eta \ge 0$, we have

$$0 \le U^*(\xi, t) \le t^{-n/2} e^{\epsilon |\xi|^{1/s}}, \quad 0 < t \le T.$$

(Step 4) Since the growth order of $U(\xi, t)$ in t is $t^{-n/2}$, we have

 $0 \le U^*(\xi, 0) = \widehat{u}^*(\xi) \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^n).$

Setting $m = \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] + 1$.

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} & \text{for } t > 0\\ 0 & \text{for } t \le 0. \end{cases}$$

For f(t), v(t) and w(t) are constructed satisfying following conditions :

$$v(t) = f(t) \text{ for } t \le 1, \operatorname{supp}(v) \subset [0, 2],$$

 $(d/dt)^m v(t) = \delta(t) + w(t), \operatorname{supp}(w) \subset [1, 2].$ (3.2)

By the Theorem 19.2 in [10] or Theorem 5.7 in [11], we have

$$0 \leq \tilde{U}^*(\xi, t) = \int_0^2 U^*(\xi, q+t) v(s) \, dq \in O(e^{\epsilon |\xi|^{1/s}}).$$

 $\widetilde{U}^*(\xi,t)$ is C^{∞} in $\mathbf{R}^n \times (0,2)$ and

 $|\tilde{U}^*(\xi, t)| \le C \exp(\epsilon |\xi|^{1/s})$

We can use $\tilde{U}^*(\xi, t)$ is continuously extended to $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, 2)$.

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right) \tilde{U}^*(\xi, t) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^n \times (0, 2).$$
(3.3)

Integrating by part and using (3.2) we have the equality

$$(-\Delta)^m \tilde{U}^*(\xi, t) = \left(-\frac{d}{dt}\right)^m \tilde{U}^*(\xi, t) = U^*(\xi, t) + \int_0^2 U^*(\xi, t+q) w(q) \, dq$$

We set $h(\xi,t) = \int_0^2 U^*(\xi,t+q)w(q) dq$. We see $h(\xi,t)$ is C^{∞} in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,2)$ which is continuously extended to $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,2)$. Furthermore we see

$$|h(\xi, t)| \le C \exp(\epsilon |\xi|^{1/s}).$$

Setting $g(\xi) = \tilde{U}^*(\xi, 0)$ and tending $t \to 0$, we have

$$(-\Delta)^m g(\xi) = U^*(\xi, 0) + h(\xi, 0).$$

This means

$$\langle (-\Delta)^m \widetilde{U}^*(\xi,t), \varphi(\xi) \rangle = \langle U^*, \varphi(\xi) \rangle + \langle h(\xi,t), \varphi(\xi) \rangle.$$

Left-hand-side of the above equality is equal to

$$\langle \tilde{U}^*(\xi,t), (-\Delta)^m \varphi(\xi)) \rangle$$

Tending $t \to 0$, we have

$$\langle g, (-\Delta)^m arphi(\xi))
angle = \langle u^*, arphi
angle + \langle h(\xi), arphi
angle.$$

So we obtain the estimate (3.1)

$$0 \leq \int e^{-\epsilon |\xi|^{1/s}} \, \widehat{u}(\xi) \, d\xi < \infty$$

(q.e.d.)

References

- Bochner, S., "Lectures on Fourier Integrals", Princeton University Press, Princeton, NewJersey, 1959.
- [2] Chen Dong-Matsuzawa, T., S-spaces of Gel'fand-Shilov and differential equations, Japanese Journal of Mathematics, Vol.19, No.2 (1993), pp.227-239.
- [3] Chung, J-Chung, S.Y.-Kim, D., Positive definite hyperfunctions, Nagoya Math.J., Vol.140 (1995), pp.139–149.
- [4] Chung,S.Y.-Kim,D., Distributions with exponential growth and Bochner-Schwartz theorem for Fourier hyperfunctions, Publ.RIMS, Kyoto Univ., Vol.31 (1995), pp.829-845.
- [5] Gelfand, I.M.-Shilov, N.Ya., "Generalized Functions Vol.2", Academic Press, New York and London, 1964.
- [6] Gelfand, I.M.–Vilenkin, N.Ya., "Generalized Functions Vol.4", Academic Press, New York and London, 1964.
- [7] Kostyuchenko, A.G.-Mitsyagin, B.S., Positive-definite functionals on nuclear spaces, (in Russian), Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obsc. 9, (1960), pp.283-316.
- [8] Matsuzawa, T., Foundation of Calculus Approach to Hyperfunctions and Applications, monograph An Introduction to the Theory of Partial Differential Equations, Monograph, 1997.
- [9] Matsuzawa, T., A calculus approach to hyperfunctions II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 313, No.2 (1989), pp.615-654.
- [10] Matsuzawa, T-Hara, M.-Ogawa, Y., "Introduction to Integral Theory and Distribution Theory", Gakujyututosho Syuppansya, (Japanese), 1996.
- [11] Matsuzawa, T., An Introduction to the Theory of Partial Differential Equations, JSPS-DOST, Lecture Notes in mathematics Vol.4, Sophia University, 1997.
- [12] Schwartz, L., Théorie des Distributions, vol. I and vol. II, Herman, Paris, 1950, 1951.